

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/626,570	Applicant(s) OHNO ET AL.	
	Examiner John T. Haran	Art Unit 1733	

All Participants:

(1) John T. Haran.

(2) David Ovedovitz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 2 to specify that the detecting was done with a detecting means to distinguish the detecting being done from a manual inspection by a worker.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)